



EVALUATION TEACHER DTSE C1 MEETING SPAIN

1. PEDAGOGICAL INNOVATION AND CREATIVITY. Assessment of completed workshops considering several criteria.

a) Have new methodologies been used?




Figure 1

We can observe that practically in all the workshops have been used new methodologies especially en Radio podcast and coaching.

b) Were there mixed international groups?




Figure 2

Only in Google Drive educational uses workshop and Web Pages workshop the assessment about mixed international groups hasn't been perfectly.

c) Were there artistic or literary production or exploring new forms of teaching?




Figure 3

The best assessment about artistic or literary production is for the Radio podcast workshop.

d) Were there effective learning experiences?




Figure 4

Canvas workshop, Radio podcast and coaching workshop have been obtained a good assessment about effective learning experiences.

e) Were there tangible or intangible results?




Figure 5

In all the workshops the results have been tangibles.

2. VALORATION OF THE WORKSHOPS




Figure 6

The general assessment of all the workshops is above “very good” in 100%.

3. ARE CULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND WORKSHOPS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT?




Figure 7

In general all the cultures activities and workshops are in accordance with the objectives of the projects.

4. HOW HAS THE COMUNICATION BEEN BETWEEN PARTNER CENTRES? (Value from 0 to 5)




Figure 8

5. HAVE NEW TECHNOLOGIES BEEN USED IN THE ACTIVITIES




Figure 9

6. VALUE THE COLLABORATIVE WORK IN THE ACTIVITIES (From 0 to 5)




Figure 10

The assessment of the collaborative work in the activities is “excellent” in 83.3%, “very good” in 8.3% and “good” in another 8.3%.

7. RESULTS, IMPACT AND DOCUMENTATION (1(poor), 2(fair), 3(good), 4(very good), 5 (excellent))




Figure 11

8. Weak points of the week

None
It would be necessary more time!
I have not found any weak point.
I personally don't like unnecessary last minute changes via whatsapp
if we do not have free wifi - communication problem. It would be good to send the main info to send the sms
Time.
Nothing
On the very first day I missed the general introduction of participants (students- teachers- schools). It would have been practical to start with the presentations about the schools as it had been originally scheduled.
timetable sometimes too flexible
none
Maybe some days have been very busy but it was still for the benefit of everyone. As far as I am concerned, it was not so hard ...

9. Strong points of the week

Relation between students
Many interesting and different activities. Knowledge of culture, exchange of experiences,...
Cooperation among all participants, rich programme, interesting meetings and workshops
Meeting nice people from different nations, talking English necessary, presentation of new ideas (IT), hospitality of guest families, sightseeing, visiting companies
program structure, lecture on healthy finances, course and lecture on golf
High-level programs
Workshops, visits, cooperation
The programme was carefully planned and executed, the workshops and activities were varied and very useful. I am particularly appreciating the enthusiastic, professional and flexible attitude of the Spanish team. Besides, all the students and teachers involved in this project contributed actively to the successful outcome.
Very interesting program, great hospitality, very good cooperation
we work hard to make everything works perfectly.

10. Comment/ Suggestions for improvement

Thanks to Spanish teachers.
well done! congrats!
Thanks for a great week full of interesting experiences and acquired knowledge
Thanks for your organisation. I'm satisfied with everything.
My suggestion for the next transnational teacher-student meeting: starting the session with introduction of schools, preferably with presentations of students.
I would suggest that in the questionnaire the significance of the figures should be specified. For example, to question 3, Value the workshops from 0 to 5? we considered the significance of the figures from question 8 (1(poor), 2(fair), 3(good), 4(very good), 5 (excellent)) .